

( )

(Content Analysis)

. 60 ( )

Checklist

(3.82)

.(0.21)

(3.96)

.(0.14)

.(0.12)

(4.07)

.(0.11)

(3.95)

-2 .

-1

-3 .

:

/

(Portfolio )

.(Wiggins, 1990)

Electronic Portfolios

Rose Hulman

:

.(Rogers & William, 1999)

/

(Perkins & Galfer, 1998)

(Bryde, Mahlen, Murray-ward, Gathercoal, & Bartell, 2001 )

.(2003)

(2003)

:

---

.(135 2002 )

:

-

.

-

( )

-

(Potter, 1999,p. 21; Cohen, 1999, p.4; Stone,1998, p.105 )

.(56 2001 )

.(152 2003 ) (Rogers & Williams, 1999,p. 3)

(12 1420 )

" "

"

."

:

.1

: .2

: .3

---

.4

.5

- 1

- 2

-

-

-

-

:

:

" "

-

.

-

. 1425/1424

-

:

(CD)

.

"

"

.

:( )

:

1988 )

(Portfolio)

2002 )

(709

---

" (Stone, 1998, p.105) (166  
"  
" (Wilgle & White, 1998, p.42)  
(Weldin & Tumarkin, 1999, p.92) "  
"  
" (Fenwick & Parsons, 1999, p.90)  
" (Salend, 2000, p.296) "  
" (Potter, 1999, p.210) ."  
(Manning, 2000, p.97) "  
"  
" (Clio, 2001, p.2)  
."  
": (147 2001)  
"  
" (6 2002)

---

" (Gennefer, 2002, p.3)

."

:

-

(Weldin & Tumarkin) (Wilgle & White)

( )

.(Stone) (Salend)

(Portfolio) -

. (Clio) (Fenwick & Parsons)

. (Potter) -

. (Manning) -

: ( )

.

**Electronic Portfolio**

: (Rogers, 1998, p.2)

(CD)

"

"

"

.(CD)

"

(140 2003)

.(CD)

":

(CD)

"

:

:(Fenwick & Parsons, 1999, p.90)

- 1

- 2

- 3

---

:

	(Gelfer & Perkins, 1998) (Sheres & Gace, 1998)	(Micklo, 1997) (Gibbs, 1998) (Cohen, 1999)
(2002)	(2001)	
	:	
	- 2	- 1
	- 4	- 3
	- 6	- 5
		- 7
		- 8
	.( ... - - )	- 9
	- 11	- 10
	- 13	- 12
		- 14
		:
	:	
	.(Cohen, 1999; Gelfer & Perkins, 1998) :	

: -

:

: -

:

-

-

-

-

-

-

( )

-

-

: -

:

: -

: -

: -

: -

: -

: -

**(Porfolios Assessment)**

(Authentic

Assessment)

(Jernniser,

(142 2003 ) 2002, p.21)

:

: - 1

(Stone,

1998)

: - 2

:

-

-

:

: - 3

.(26 2003 )

:

Scoring Guides

:

.Rating Scales

Checklist

Rubrics

( )

:

:

:

(Gelfer & Perkins, 1998)

(2001)

(Cohen, 1999)

(Salend, 1998)

(Gibbs, 1998)

(2002)

(36)

(71)

:

(Potter, 1999)

(Rooman & Luberto, 1999)

New Jersey

: District School

(Hebert, 1998)

Crow

Island School

(Micklo, 1997)

(Camp, 1998)

:

(Weldin & Tumarkin, 1999)

:

( )

.  
:  
(Rogers, 1998)  
:  
(Rose- Hulman)

(Rogers & Williams, 1999)

(Rose- Halman)

:  
.1  
.  
.2  
.  
.3  
:  
.

(Change, 2001)

(web)

(Barrett, 2000)

( )

:

(Barrett)

(Barrett)

( )

(2003)

( - )

:

(0.01)

.1

( )

(0.01)

.2

( )

---

:

■

(Gelfer & :  
(2001) Perkins, 1998)

(Salend, 1999) (Cohen, 1999)  
:  
(Micklo, 1999) (Gibbs, 1998)  
(Rooman & Luberto, 1999) :  
(Weldin & Tumarkin, 1999) (Hebert, 1998)

.

■

(Rogers, 1998) :  
: (Rogers & Williams, 1999)  
(2003) (Barrett, 2000)

.

:

(Hebret, 1998) .1

.

.2

(Camp, 1998) .3

)

■

(

:

Content Analysis

(114 1992 )

:

(2165)

:

(60)

.1

.2

.3

(60)

.

:

.1

)

.(1" ) .

.2

.(2" )

). .

.(3"

.3

( )

.4

.5

.6

:

.

:

:

.

.

60 -

3" ) -

.

.

5" ) -

.

:( )

Checklist " "

:

(12) .1

(8) .2

(8) .3

(17) .4

4" )

:  
 " "  
 : %80  
 .1  
 " .2  
 :  
 :  
 : - 1

(1) (Pearson)

(1)

0.94		1
0.86		2
0.82		3
0.92		4

: - 2

.Holisti

(2)

(2)

0.92		1
0.82		2
0.80		3
0.92		4

:

:

.1

.2

Pearson

.3

Holisti

.4

:

)

(

:

.

- 1

.

:

- 2

.

- 3

- 4

.(1)

- 5

.

- 7

- 6

- 9

- 8

.(1)

:

)

(

.

.(3)

(3)

	*											العبارة		
						كبيرة								
		%		%		%		%		%				
:														
0.38	4.17	-	-	-	-	-	-	83.3	50	16.7	10		1	
00	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	60	-	-		2	
00	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	60	-	-		3	
0.13	3.98	-	-	-	-	1.7	1	98.3	59	-	-		4	
0.50	3.53	-	-	-	-	46.7	3	53.3	32	-	-		5	
00	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	60	-	-		6	
0.48	4.33	-	-	-	-	-	-	66.7	40	3.33	20		7	
0.40	4.20	-	-	-	-	-	-	80	48	20	12		8	
0.62	3.23	-	-	6.7	4	66.7	40	23.3	14	3.3	2		9	
0.55	3.20	-	-	6.7	4	66.7	40	26.7	16	-	-		10	
0.55	3.20	-	-	6.7	4	66.7	40	26.7	16	-	-		11	
0.13	3.98	-	-	-	-	1.7	1	98.3	59	-	-		12	
<b>0.21</b>	<b>3.82</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			
		(3.99 - 3)			(2.99 - 2)			(1.99 - 1)			(0.99 - 0)			
		(5 - 4)												

(12 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1)

(3)

(%100 %53.3)

(4.33 3.53)

(%66.7)

(11 10 9)

(3.23 - 3.20)

(3.82)

.(0.21)

:

)

( )

(

.(4)

(4)

		ضعيفة											
		% %		% %		% %		% %		% %			
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%		
00	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	60	-	-		1
0.28	4.08	-	-	-	-	-	-	91.7	55	8.3	5		2
0.28	4.08	-	-	-	-	-	-	91.7	55	8.3	5		3
00	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	60	-	-		4
00	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	60	-	-		5
00	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	60	-	-		6
0.44	3.75	-	-	-	-	25	15	75	45	-	-		7
0.44	3.75	-	-	-	-	25	15	75	45	-	-		8
<b>0.14</b>	<b>3.96</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

(%100 %75)

(4)

(4.08 3.75)

(3.96)

.(0.14)

:

)

( )

(

.(5)

(5)

		درجة التحقق											
		%		%		%		%		%			
0.36	4.15	-	-	-	-	-	-	85	01	15	9		1
013	4.02	-	-	-	-	-	-	98.3	59	1.7	1		2
00	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	60	-	-		3

0.25	4.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	93.3	56	6.7	4		4
0.42	4.22	-	-	-	-	-	-	78.3	47	21.7	13		5
0.28	4.08	-	-	-	-	-	-	91.7	55	8.3	5		6
00	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	60	-	-		7
00	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	60	-	-		8
0.12	4.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

(%100 %85)

(5)

(4.22 4)

(4.07)

.(0.12)

:

)

(

.(6)

(6)

		درجة التحقق												
		كبيرة جدًا												
		%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%			
0.38	4.17	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	83.3	50	16.7	10		1
0.39	4.18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	81.7	49	18.3	11	( ... )	2
0.13	4.02	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	98.3	59	1.71	1		3
00	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	60	-	-		4
0.25	4.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	93.3	56	6.7	4		5
0.25	4.07	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	93.3	56	6.7	4		6
0.50	3.52	-	-	-	-	48.3	29	51.7	31	-	-		7	
0.18	3.97	-	-	-	-	3,3	2	96.7	58	-	-		8	
00	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	60	-	-		9
00	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	60	-	-		10

00	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	60	-	-		11
00	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	60	-	-		12
00	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	60	-	-		13
0.50	3.52	-	-	-	-	48.3	29	51.7	31	-	-		14
0.39	3.82	-	-	-	-	18.3	11	81.7	49	-	-		15
0.38	3.83	-	-	-	-	16.7	10	83.3	50	-	-		16
00	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	60	-	-		17
<b>0.11</b>	<b>3.95</b>	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		

%51.7)

(6)

(4.18 3.52)

(%100

:

(3.95)

.(0.11)

(7)

4	0.21	3.82		1
2	0.14	3.96		2
1	0.12	4.07		3
3	0.11	3.95		4

(7)

(4.07 3.82)


(4.07)

(3.95)

(3,96)

(1)

(3.82)



(1)

(3.82)

(1)

(5)

Gibbs, )

:

(2003)

(1998

:

Digital

:

:

(CD)

Camera

(12)

(9)

(Hebert, 1998)

(100 53.3)

(12)

(3)

:

55

45

60

60

(Potter, 1999)

Weldin & )

(Twmarkin, 1999

:

:

:

- 1

( )

---

. : - 2

: - 3

.

: - 4

: - 5

:

.1

.2

:

.3

.4

: (

:

▪

▪

▪

▪

▪

▪

▪

(

▪

▪

▪

▪

▪

:

( ) .(2003)

.174-134 3

: 22 - .(1988)

.(2001)

. 163-143 2

.(2003)

( / )

.194-131 84

.(1992)

: 2

( ) .(2002)

. (1420)

.16-11 42

:

Barrett, H. (2002), Electronic teaching portfolios: Multimedia skills portfolio development. Society for Information Technology and Teacher Education International Conference, San Diego, California, February 8-12.

Bryde, B. R., Mahler, J., Murray-ward, M., Gathercoal, P., Bartell, C., (2001). Project magnetic connections: Infusing technology into California Sutheran university's preservice teacher preparation program. AACTE Annual Meeting, March 1-4, in Dallas, Texas.

- Camp, R. (1998). Portfolio reflection: The basis for dialogue. *The Clearing House*, 72 (1), 10-12.
- Chang, C. (2001). Construction and evaluation of a web-based learning portfolio system: An electronic assessment tool. *Innovation-in Education and Teaching International*, 38 (2), 55-144.
- Clio, J. (2001). Electronic portfolios, A chapter in *Educational Technology*, Retrieved December 2, 2004, from <http://transition-alaska.edu/portfolios/encyclopedia/entry.html>
- Cohen, L. (1999). The power of portfolio. *Scholastic Early Childhood Today*, 13 (5), 9-22.
- Fenwick, T. J. & Jim P. (1999). A note on using portfolios to assess learning canadian. *Social Studies*, 33 (3), 90-92.
- Gelfer, I. J. & Peggy, G. P. (1998). Portfolios: Focus on young children. *Teaching Exceptional Children*, 31 (2), 44-47.
- Gibbs, G. K. (1998). Problem with portfolios. *Principal*, Reston, Virginia, 77, 72.
- Jennifer, W. (2002). Implementing portfolios and student. *Led Conferences*, 1-5. Retrieved December 3, 2004, from <http://www.enc.org/topics/assessment/Altern/document.html>
- Manning, M. (2000) Writing portfolios. *Teaching Pre K-8*, 30 (6), 8-97.
- Micklo, S. J. (1997). Math portfolios in the primary grades. *Childhood Education*, 73 (4), 5-17.
- Perkins, P. G & Jeffery G. I. (1998). Producing teacher/staff portfolios: A method for effective evaluation. *Catalyst for Change*, 28 (1), 17-20.
- Potter, E. F. (1999). What should I put in my portfolio? Supporting young children's goals and evaluations. *Childhood Education*, 75 (4), 210-214.
- Rogers, G. M. (1998). Asynchronous assessment: Using electronic portfolios to document and assess student learning outcomes. *Frontiers in Education Conference. FIE*, 98. 28th Annual volume:1, 129- 129.
- Rogers, G. M. & Julia M. W. (1999). Electronic portfolios as a tool for assessing Student outcomes. *Frontiers in education Conference, FIE*, 99. 29th Annual volume:3, 149- 149.
- Rooman, K. K. & Kristine I. (1994). User friendly portfolios: The search goes on. *Teaching Pre K- 8*, 25, 6-105.
- Salend, S. J. (1998). Using portfolios to assess student performance. *Teaching Exceptional Children*, 31 (2), 36-43.

---

Shores, E. & Cathy G. (1998). *The Portfolio book: A step-by- step guide for teachers*. New York: Agryphon House Book.

Stone, A. B. (1998). Problems, pitfalls, and benefits of portfolios. *Teaching Education Quarterly*, 25, 105-114.

Weldin, D. J. & Sandra R. T. (1999). Parent involvement: More power in the portfolio process. *Childhood Education*, 7 (2), 5-90.

Wiggins, G. (1990). *The Case for Authentic Assessment*. ERIC document Reproduction service No. Ed328611.

Wigle S. E. & George T. W. (1998). Conceptual frameworks: Portfolio assessment and faculty mentoring: Bridges to standards- based teacher education programs. *Action in Teacher Education*, 20 (3), 39-49.